

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/425,743	10/22/1999	ED VAES	300P4	9958	
75	90 03/03/2003				
MARK A KOCH			EXAMINER		
866 MAIN STREET EAST HAMILTON, L8M1L9			GRAHAM, GARY K		
CANADA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1744		
			DATE MAILED: 03/03/2003	DATE MAILED: 03/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	= - · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		01				
	Application No.	pplicant(s)	N _Z				
Advisory Action	09/425,743	VAES, ED					
, and a second state of	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Gary K Graham	1744					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 24 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The drave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exterminity of the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 insign and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. Solution. 136(a) and the appropriate extended the inal office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6-19 and 25-29</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
10. Other:		Gary K Graham Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1744	Λ				
S. Datent and Trademark Office		7.11 0.111. 17.77					

Application No.

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) = 009/425,743



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly presented claims include subject matter not previously claimed. For example, a particular handle cross section has now been claimed as well as the brush head having a flattened metallic casing. For at least these reasons, the proposed amendment would require further consideration.

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 112 first paragraph, at least with respect to the section dimensions.